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#### Abstract

Recent reports highlight possible improvements in individual identification using proteomic information from human hair evidence. These reports have stimulated investigation of parameters that affect the utility of proteomic information. In addition to variables already studied relating to processing technique and anatomic origin of hair shafts, an important variable is hair ageing. Present work focuses on the effect of age on protein profiling and analysis of genetically variant peptides (GVPs). Hair protein profiles may be affected by developmental and physiological changes with age of the donor, exposure to different environmental conditions and intrinsic processes, including during storage. First, to explore whether general trends were evident in the population at different ages, hair samples were analyzed from groups of different subjects in their 20 's, 40 's and 60 's. No significant differences were seen as a function of age, but consistent differences were evident between European American and African American hair profiles. Second, samples collected from single individuals at different ages were analyzed. Mostly, these showed few protein expression level differences over periods of 10 years or less, but samples from subjects at 44 and 65 year intervals were distinctly different in profile. The results indicate that use of protein profiling for personal identification, if practical, would be limited to decadal time intervals. Moreover, batch effects were clearly evident in samples processed by different staff. To investigate the contribution of storage (at room temperature) in affecting the outcomes, the same proteomic digests were analyzed for GVPs. In samples stored over 10 years, GVPs were reduced in number in parallel with the yield of identified proteins and unique peptides. However, a very different picture emerged with respect to personal identification. Numbers of GVPs sufficed to distinguish individuals despite the age differences of the samples. As a practical matter, three hair samples per person provided nearly the maximal number obtained from 5 or 6 samples. The random match probability (where the log increased in proportion to the number of GVPs) reached as high as 1 in $10^{8}$. The data indicate that GVP results are dependent on the single nucleotide polymorphism profile of the donor genome, where environmental/processing factors affect only the yield, and thus are consistent despite the ages of the donors and samples and batchwise effects in processing. This conclusion is critical for application to casework where the samples may be in storage for long periods and used to match samples recently collected.


## 1. Introduction

Protein profiling (comparison of relative protein expression levels) and proteomic genotyping (inferring single nucleotide polymorphisms
in the genome using the proteome) for human hair comparison and individual identification have shown promise as potential tools for forensic investigation. For example, large inter-individual differences in protein profile are evident in hair shafts [1]. Studies using human twins

[^0][2] support the conclusion reached using inbred mouse strains [3] that differences in profile have primarily a genetic basis. Corneocyte proteins of the hair shaft [2], epidermis [4] and appendages provide an even more direct connection to genotype in their reflection of individual allelic differences in the genome. Thus, detection of genetically variant peptides (GVPs) containing single amino acid polymorphisms (SAPs) that could be matched to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the coding region of the genome provides a more discriminating way to infer the genotype and even ancestry of the donor [5].

From a forensic perspective, limitations on the use of samples for such identifications are important to know. For example, recent findings show that the hair shaft is equally useful for profiling or GVP analysis regardless of its state of pigmentation [6] or anatomic site of origin $[7,8]$, although GVP analysis can offer much greater discrimination. A property that remains to be examined is the reproducibility of such samples with age of donor or period of storage. This issue is pertinent because the protein content of samples may change with the age of the donor at collection, and casework samples are often in storage for many years. Thus, investigators are likely to compare samples from individuals at different ages and originating many years apart.

First, to determine whether global changes in hair are evident with age, present work compares protein profiles in samples from groups of individuals of different age. Samples collected at roughly the same time are compared from American females in their 20's, 40's and 60's from European and African backgrounds, also permitting investigation of the role of ethnic origin. Second, to examine changes in hair from individuals over time, samples were compared in protein profile and GVP content from 9 subjects at age intervals of 4 to 65 years. The results of both studies are presented and reconciled.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Sample collection

For analysis of samples from different age groups, hair was collected by a commercial supplier from 30 African Americans (10 each of ages $20,40,60$ ) and 40 European Americans ( 20 of age 20 and 10 each of ages 40 and 60), all female (Cohort 1). Samples are referred to as "African" or "European" for simplicity. One sample from each donor was analyzed. To find the effect of age on individuals, a second set of samples that had been collected at different times (stored at room temperature) from nine individuals ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}$ (Cohort 2) and F-I (Cohort 3), total three females and six males), each analyzed in sets of 2-6 replicates (Table S1). According to donors, the hair was not chemically treated (dyed, bleached, straightened). These samples were collected with informed consent approved by the University of California Davis Institutional Review Board (protocol 896494) and processed within a year.

### 2.2. Sample processing for protein isolation and mass spectrometry

In each case, aliquots of 4 mg were processed essentially as previously described [1] except for using 0.05 M ammonium bicarbonate instead of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer during reduction and alkylation. Each cohort of samples was processed at a different time by a different investigator. Hair protein digests from the age groups and from individuals were randomized and analyzed by LC-MS/MS on a Thermo Scientific Q Exactive Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer essentially as previously described [2].

### 2.3. Database searching and proteomic profiling based on weighted spectral counts and statistical analysis

Data files generated for the samples of age groups (Cohort 1) and the individuals A-E (Cohort 2) were analyzed using X!Tandem
(2016.10.15.2) to search a Uniprot human database with an appended database of common human contaminants and an appended identical but reversed (decoy) peptide database for estimating false discovery rates. The proteomics data are available in the MassIVE repository as \#MSV000085030, Proteome Exchange \#PXD017771 (https://massive. ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/dataset.jsp?task =
4a43733eab0c45a0a78a7afc7ad4f685). Also, the data from Cohorts 2 and 3 have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [9] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD016169. Scaffold (version 4.8.2) was used to validate peptide and protein identifications. Accepted protein identifications contained at least 2 identified peptides. False discovery rates were estimated as $0.1 \%$ and $2.9 \%$ for peptides and proteins, respectively. The MS results were analyzed as weighted spectral counts (with clusters containing shared peptides) after removal of entries not genuinely present judging by their exclusive peptides. Differential protein abundance analyses were conducted using the limma-voom Bioconductor pipeline, originally developed for analysis of RNA-Seq data and applied here to weighted spectral counts [10]. Standard errors of estimates were adjusted for correlation between replicates from the same sample; subject was included as a fixed effect in all models. The R code is provided in supplemental files.

### 2.4. Protein profiling using PEAKS

Label-free quantitation was performed on the LC-MS/MS datasets of individuals A-I (Cohorts 2 and 3) using PEAKS Studio 10.0 (Bioinformatics Solutions Inc., Waterloo, ON, Canada) to obtain their protein profiles [11,12]. From 2-6 samples for each age from all nine individuals amounting to a total of 67 datasets were analyzed against a validated UNIPROT human reference proteome (uniprot-proteome_UP000005640_Human). Default settings of the algorithm were employed except that the precursor mass error range and fragment ion were set to 10 ppm and 0.04 Da , respectively. Cysteine carbamidomethylation $(+57 \mathrm{Da})$ was set as a fixed post translational modification, while deamidation on glutamines and asparagines ( +0.98 Da ), oxidation of histidines, tryptophan, and methionine ( +15.99 Da ), dioxidation of methionines ( +29.99 Da ), pyroglutamation at glutamines ( -17.02 Da ) and glutamates $(-18.01 \mathrm{Da})$, and acetylation $(+42.01)$ and formylation $(+27.99)$ of N -termini and lysines were variable modifications. The resulting datasets, filtered with a $1 \%$ false discovery rate, were analyzed using the Q-module function of PEAKS Studio, and a heat map was generated by label free quantitation for proteins with at least 2 fold difference in the levels among the groups and a significance of 13 (p value $=0.05 ;-10 \log (0.05)=13.01)$. Due to batch effects identified by comparing profiles of the most recent samples of Cohorts 2 and 3 (Figure S1) a collective comparison of the profiles of individuals A-I was not performed.

### 2.5. GVP analysis

The data files of the nine individuals (A-I) sampled at different ages were searched to generate GVP profiles to determine whether the individuals could be distinguished from each other by this criterion. For GVP analysis, raw data files were submitted to X!Tandem peptide spectra matching algorithm (Global Proteome Machine Fury, X!Tandem Alanine 149 (2016.10.15.2)) after conversion to MzML format by MSConvertGUI (Proteowizard $2.1 \mathrm{http}: / /$ proteowizard.sourceforge. net). Default search parameters of the algorithm were used except that the virus and prokaryote reference libraries were excluded and point mutations were included in the search. Protein and peptide $\log (\mathrm{e})$ scores of -1 , and fragment and parent mass error of 20 ppm and 100 ppm , respectively, were used. The files generated by X!Tandem (.XML, thegpm.org) were used to obtain the peptide data, which was then provided to/pasted into GVP Finder [13]. From the list of putative GVPs, unique tryptic peptides carrying $\log (e)$ scores of $<-2$ were used for GVP profiling if they displayed no other genetic or chemical
modifications (except N/Q deamidation, methionine oxidation, cysteine carboxymethylation and N -terminal acetylation) and, if corresponding to a minor allele, with no major fragmentation masses corresponding to the reference alleles. The GVPs observed in the current study were not validated by DNA sequencing. However, the previously observed rate of false positive identifications of $1.5-2 \%$ [4,5] using the employed method provides high confidence in the GVP profiles. The mass spectrometry proteomics data from Cohorts 2 and 3 have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [9] partner repository.

### 2.6. Random match probability calculation

Random match probabilities (RMPs) were calculated for the GVP profile of each sample using the genotype frequencies of the identified loci from the 1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al. [14]. As all the studied subjects in Cohorts 2 and 3 were of European origin, only European genotype frequencies were used for estimation of RMP. For the calculation, each SNP was treated as independent except the multiple GVPs/alleles from one gene that were treated as one locus. The frequency for the allele combination was then used to estimate the RMPs. The product rule was applied to calculate the RMP for each specific GVP profile [5].

### 2.7. Hierarchical clustering

For statistical analysis, all the GVPs detected in the biological replicates were collated. GVPs detected in one or more replicates were given the same weight. All the detections were assigned the value " 1 ", and those that were not detected in the samples were assigned the value "0". GVPs that were either detected or not detected throughout the samples (and thus were without probative value) were excluded from the analysis. Agglomerative hierarchical clustering with complete linkage was performed based on the Euclidean distance data for the samples, and a dendrogram for the clustering was plotted using the hclust function of R (Version 3.6.2) [8].

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Hair proteome comparison among age groups

To study the effect of age and ethnicity on the hair proteome, hair samples from European-American and African Americans of three age groups ( $20 \mathrm{~s}, 40 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ ) were studied. The data were analyzed against the Uniprot human database using X!Tandem (2016.10.15.2) and peptide and protein identifications were validated using Scaffold (version 4.8.2). The weighted spectral counts of 241 proteins were used for analyzing pairwise differences in protein profile. As illustrated in Table 1, significant pair-wise differences were not detected in different age groups within each ethnic category or within the ethnic groups of combined ages. However, some significant differences between samples from African-American and European-American subjects were discernable (Fig. 1). Proteins higher in the African samples included TYRP1 (Tyrosinase Related Protein 1) and GPNMB (Glycoprotein Nonmetastatic Melanoma Protein B), which participate in melanin biosynthesis [11,15,12], and are a reflection of the higher melanin content in samples from the African-American cohort. In addition, certain keratins (i.e., KRTs $1,2,5,9,10,24$ ) were among the proteins higher in level in the African samples. Two proteins involved in membrane lipid metabolism, PLD3 [16] and LPCAT3 [17], were higher in the European hair samples. As the cuticle cells are bounded by a protein membrane surrounded by lipids [18], the higher number of cuticle layers in the European compared to African samples could contribute to the differences in level of these hair proteins in the two populations. Other proteins higher in the European samples are involved in autophagy (HSP90AA1, ATG9b), ribosomal function (RPS2, EEF1D), and calcium binding (CALML5). The overall data obtained from Cohort 1 identified

Table 1
Pairwise comparisons of differentially expressed proteins by age and ethnic origin*.

| A | A20's | A40's | B | E20's | E40's |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A40's | 0 |  | E40's | 0 |  |  |
| A60's | 0 | 0 | E60's | 0 | 0 |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 20's | 40's | D | A20's | A40's | A60's |
| 40's | 0 |  | E20's | 8 |  |  |
| 60's | 0 | 0 | E40's |  | 6 |  |
|  |  |  | E60's |  |  | 2 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | All A |  |  |  |  |  |
| All E | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Ethnic groups are indicated by African (A) and European (E) and age groups by 20 's, 40 's and 60 's. The numbers in table indicate the number of proteins with significant differences in expression level. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 1. Proteins differing in hair samples from African and European subjects. Shown are the ratios of relative amounts of proteins that differed significantly, judging by weighted spectral counts, between the samples collected from African and European subjects.
no consistent proteomic differences in hair shafts as a function of age in the range of 20 to 60 years. Likewise, the lack of overall proteomic differences precludes the possibility of global changes in GVP profile as a function of age. Importantly, however, the data do not exclude the possibility that age-related changes in protein abundance are not detected due to compensating individual variation over time.

### 3.2. Proteomic profile comparisons at different ages in given individuals based on weighted spectral counts

Because a lack of differences in the hair proteome as a function of age in unrelated individuals could be attributed to compensating individual variation, a complementary analysis was also conducted on recent hair samples and those that had been stored over 4 to 65 years from 9 individuals (Supplementary Table S1). Two different groups of subjects (Individuals A-E in Cohort 2 and Individuals F to I in Cohort 3) were analyzed. For the first longitudinal study, proteomic datasets from hair shafts from 5 individuals were processed, and significant differences in pair-wise protein abundances among a total of 211 proteins were tabulated. As shown in Table 2, data from three subjects (A, D, and E) showed few protein differences (0-6) with age in two-way comparisons over periods of 4-11 years. Samples from one subject (C) showed few differences (5-7) over a span of 6 years, but a substantial number (27) over 11 years. One subject (B) showed a substantial

Table 2
Pairwise comparison of proteins significantly different in expression level (weighted spectral counts) in two-way comparisons*.

|  | A6 | A11 | B0 | B65 | C0 | C6 | C11 | D0 | D5 | E0 | E4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A0 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 34 | 4 | 64 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 22 | 206 | 132 |
| A6 |  | $\mathbf{6}$ | 13 | 17 | 30 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 227 | 131 |
| A11 |  |  | 30 | 15 | 56 | 6 | 11 | 26 | 23 | 168 | 103 |
| B0 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 26 | 17 | 35 | 14 | 16 | 147 | 120 |
| B65 |  |  |  |  | 88 | 23 | 9 | 24 | 26 | 196 | 132 |
| C0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 27 | 54 | 42 | 99 | 105 |
| C6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 10 | 9 | 35 | 28 |
| C11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 28 | 168 | 93 |
| D0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 135 | 118 |
| D5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204 | 127 |
| E0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |

* Subjects are identified by letter and years since the first collection (0). Comparisons within the same individual from different years are in bold italic. The numbers in the table indicate the number of differentially expressed proteins


Fig. 2. Distances in protein expression levels between samples from single individuals and between subjects. Box plots of Euclidean distances between samples, based on weighted spectral counts. The solid line on each box indicates the median, the lower and upper box edges indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and the lower and upper whiskers indicate the smallest and largest observations lying within 1.5 interquartile ranges of the box edges, respectively.
number of differences (32) over a span of 65 years. As shown in Fig. 2, the protein profiles from a single subject at different ages were much closer in distance than the profiles among different individuals. The data in Table 2 indicated that subjects D and E could be readily distinguished from all the other subjects, but some subject combinations would be more difficult (e.g., A0 or A6 versus C6 or C11). Also the subjects $B$ and $C$ had high levels of internal differences, but these were consistent with longer time frames, a 65 year storage time for subject B and an 11 year difference for subject $C$. Storage time of the hair sample may have contributed to these differences in protein profiling, although physiological changes due to subject aging cannot be excluded.

### 3.3. Proteomic profile comparisons at different ages among individuals based on heatmaps

An additional batch of hair samples (Cohort 3) was processed to expand the number of longitudinal samples. The resulting proteomic profiles were bioinformatically processed to obtain label free quantitation and subsequent heat maps using Q-module in the PEAKs ${ }^{\text {Tw }}$ software package (version 10.0) [11,12]. The samples were divided into
two groups, new (recent samples) and old (collected 7 or more years before present) based on the time since collection. As can be seen in Fig. 3A, when protein profiles were filtered based on a 2 -fold change and p-value of 0.05 , little difference was seen in the proteomes of older and recent samples when compared collectively. Only 3 protein differences were detected, one of which, KRTAP7-1, was a structural protein and one, SEC23B, is involved in endosomal transport and was significantly increased in pigmented hair [6]. The low number of significant differences, again, could be attributed to the higher variation in proteomic profiles from individual to individual that could cancel statistically significant effects. Another analysis was therefore conducted on the most extreme case, individual I, with a 44 year gap in subject age. Samples from this individual showed 54 proteins that had a 2 -fold change in abundance ( $\mathrm{p}=0.05$ ) (Fig. 3B) with fifty proteins higher in level in the recent samples compared to the older ones. These included proteins reported to be concentrated in the cuticle (S100A3, KRT40, KRT82, KRTAP16-1, 24-1, and 3-2) among other hair KRTs and KRTAPs (http://www.proteinatlas.org; [19,20]. The higher amounts of cuticle concentrated proteins in the recent samples could reflect the loss of cuticle in the older samples [21]. Four of the proteins were higher in level in the older samples, SYNE2 (cytoskeletal protein), AKAP9 (scaffolding protein), and GFAP (an intermediate filament protein) (http:// www.proteinatlas.org). A similar analysis from individuals F, G, and H showed considerably fewer proteomic changes over a period of 7 years with 2,13 , and 4 proteins respectively, differing among the stored and recent samples.

### 3.4. Genetically variant peptide analysis

To determine the effect of potential sample degradation with storage, GVPs in each sample were first identified and evaluated. The total number of unique peptides was also measured in each proteomic dataset. Sample storage/age was not seen to affect the average number of identified unique peptides in the samples over periods of $<10$ years (Fig. 4A). However, decreases of $\sim 38,27$, and $33 \%$ of the unique peptides, relative to their corresponding recent samples (stored
$<1$ year), were observed in the samples B, C and I over storage periods of 65, 11 and 44 years, respectively (Fig. 4A and Table S1). These results are consistent with the previous observations of a reduction in the complexity of proteomes over long periods of time, leading to a loss/degradation of certain proteins [5,21]. By contrast, the samples from individual A did not show significant alterations in the amounts of detected proteins or unique peptides over a period of 11 years. The samples from individual E at both ages provided very low numbers of identified unique peptides $(\approx 1200)$ and proteins $(\approx 300)$ compared to the average numbers observed in the other samples ( $\approx 3000$ and $\approx 600$, respectively) (Table S1), an example of a substantial individual effect.

Genetically variant peptide profiles were identified for each individual (A-I) in the longitudinal study with 2 to 6 biological replicates. Overall, 237 different GVPs at 127 loci were identified with $67 \pm 18$ GVPs per sample (Table S2). A straightforward relationship could not be made between the age of the sample and the number of GVPs observed except for the individuals B, C, and I (Fig. 4B). The numbers of GVPs decreased 1.48 fold from $57.6 \pm 8.5$ to $36.6 \pm 7(p=0.03)$ in individual $B, 1.5$ fold from $63.3 \pm 10.5$ to $40.3 \pm 14(p=0.015)$ for individual $C$, and 2.1 fold from $63.6 \pm 6$ to $33 \pm 3(p=0.007)$, for individual I with storage over periods of 65,11 and 44 years, respectively. However, the number of GVPs detected was seen to be proportional to the number of identified unique peptides in the samples ( $R=0.86$, Fig. 5A) as also observed by others [22]. GVP detections, when compared with the number of replicates used for each sample, showed that three biological replicates provide enough information to cover $97 \%$ of the GVPs, and adding more replicates is hardly more effective (Figure S2).


Fig. 3. Heatmap showing differences in the proteomic composition of the newly and previously collected samples of (A) cohort 3 (individuals F-I), and (B) individual I at two times points with a difference of 44 years. The numbers after the hyphens in the sample names represent the storage time of the samples.

### 3.5. Random match probability

To calculate the random match probability (RMP) at each age, SNP profiles were inferred for each of the samples from their respective GVP profiles. The genotype frequencies from the 1000 Genomes Project for the inferred SNPs were used to calculate the RMPs. The calculation employed the product rule with complete independence between GVPs in different genes and complete dependence with GVPs from the same gene. The calculated random match probabilities ranged from 1 in 73 (for sample E1) to 1 in 185 million (for sample A3). The log of the RMP was found to be proportional to the number of GVPs detected (Fig. 5B) with rare SNPs considerably increasing the RMPs.

### 3.6. Hierarchical clustering

Proteomic changes observed over 4-7 years were modest. However,
more substantial changes over time were observed proteomically in the older samples from 44 and 65 year intervals. This was true for both total numbers of identified proteins (Table S1) and total unique peptide levels (Fig. 4A, Table S1). Significant changes were also observed due to batch effects between the second and third cohort of longitudinal samples. A central question of this study was whether these changes also affected the profile of GVP-based inferred SNP genotypes. Therefore, GVP profiles of the individuals at different ages were also compared side by side. Samples from the same individuals were found to carry a large proportion of GVPs common at all ages with some unique GVPs (Figure S3). For the GVP profiles generated for individuals A-I, every GVP detection was assigned a value 1 and a non-detection a value 0 to create a binary data file for calculating Euclidean distances and from them to plot an agglomerative hierarchical clustering dendrogram. As seen in Fig. 6, samples collected at different time points from the same individuals were clustered together, although distances


Fig. 4. Unique peptides (A) and GVPs (B) in samples from individuals at different ages. The lines of different color show values (averages and standard deviations) for individuals at the ages indicated. Significantly lower values in the unique peptides were observed in the stored samples of individuals B, C and I marked by asterisks. Periods of storage are indicated by the time span between points for given subjects.


Fig. 5. The number of GVPs vs (A) the unique peptides identified in each sample and (B) calculated random match probabilities. The graph shows that the higher the number of unique peptides identified in a sample, the higher will be the number of GVPs observed ( $p$ value $=0.0001$ ) and the higher the random match probabilities calculated ( $p$ value $=0.003$ ).
among subjects varied. This includes the samples that had the longest storage periods and greatest level of changes, individuals B and I. It also includes samples from different cohorts of longitudinal samples, individuals A to E and F to I , despite recognizable batch effects (Figure S1). This indicates that the GVP-inferred profiles of SNP alleles were more dependent on individual genotypes than changes occurring as a result of storage with proteome degradation and batch effects.

## 4. DISCUSSION

Previous work has shown that inbred mouse strains can be distinguished by their hair protein profiles [3]. Subsequently, human individuals were also shown to be distinguishable in this way [1]. Studies of monozygotic twins indicate that the basis for such differences is largely genetic [2]. That the twin profiles were not found to diverge with age would be consistent with a lack of effect of age or changes with age in the same direction within twin pairs. Present results support the latter alternative. Inasmuch as the different hair shaft layers (e.g., cuticle) have different protein profiles from the rest of the shaft [1], also reported for sheep wool [23], changing proportions of the layers over time as diameters change could result in altered profiles. Hair shaft diameters reportedly change with age, decreasing in the elderly [24,25]. This finding is consistent with a report that the relative content of mRNAs encoding keratins and keratin associated proteins in hair follicles also changes with age (Giesen et al, 2011). The basis for chronological ageing is multifactorial, but includes accumulation of oxidative damage from ambient oxidants, ultraviolet radiation, copper content [26] and air pollution [27].

Present results indicate a lack of consistent population-wide changes, but some changes are evident for individuals. This finding supports possible usefulness of hair shaft protein profiling in distinguishing among individuals over short time periods, but it highlights a dependence on a short interval between sample collections, a clear limitation. Finding a substantially larger difference in subject $C$ after 11 years compared to 5 or 6 years ( 27 versus 5 or 7) could be rationalized by a drift in profile. Comparing hair samples from individuals collected at greater than 40 year intervals, as for subjects $B$ and $I$, reveals a large drift. Such changes could result from effects of normal ageing on hair follicle function/gene expression and profile modifications due to exposure to different physicochemical factors during storage. Therefore, proteomic profiling alone would not likely provide sufficient information to distinguish individuals from each other on a large scale. Moreover, batch effects from processing the samples at different times could confound use of a database of proteomic profiles for individual identification.

GVP analysis, on the other hand, was found to be a powerful tool to identify the source of the hair sample in each of the nine subjects studied despite the samples being stored even for periods $>40$ years. GVP analysis permits calculation of random match probabilities, providing a statistical basis for confidence in the results. The older samples of the individuals B and I , although deficient in proteins and peptides detected, provided GVP profiles with RMPs of 1 in nearly 1000 and 500, respectively. This capability is of particular interest for old and cold cases, where hair is present as evidence and nuclear DNA is not available. The relation between the number of unique peptides, GVPs, and the calculated RMPs testifies to the value of optimizing sample processing procedures and ongoing efforts to maximize their yields in problematic samples (e.g., from individual E).

The observation of lower unique peptide and protein yields with longer storage is consistent with loss of cuticle in older hair samples [21,28]. This phenomenon could also rationalize the higher proportion in the recent samples of KRTAPs found in the present study. A factor of potential importance is the chemical modification of samples during long term storage. Deamidation, which has been linked with ageing of hairs [29,30], was higher in samples stored over a period of at least 10 years ( $\mathrm{R}=0.97$ ) (Figure $S 4$ ). Other common chemical modifications were not consistent in their direction of change. Nevertheless, this observation raises the prospect in general of chemical modifications, some of which could depend on storage conditions. An important area for future investigation is the impact on protein profiles, and especially on GVP yield, of treatments individuals may use to reduce environmental damage, and common chemical treatments that are known to induce considerable damage and to reduce protein yields [31].


Fig. 6. Hierarchical clustering dendrogram of all the samples from individual subjects. Based on the Euclidean distances among the samples, the clustering shows that GVP profiles can distinguish individuals despite differences in hair collection and storage times.

### 4.1. Conclusion

The present study highlights that the hair, although very resilient in nature, could undergo developmental and environmental changes over decades, resulting in drift in profile and thus intra-individual variation. Therefore, proteomic profiling alone has limitations for human identification. GVP profiles, in contrast, were seen to be more robust over periods as long as 65 years. The stored hair samples, despite losing a fraction of unique peptides and proteins, were sufficient to provide high RMPs. These findings promise to be highly valuable in resolving routine and even old cases where hair samples are available for investigation.

## Proteomics repository files

The proteomics data are available on the MassIVE repository (https://massive.ucsd.edu) MassIVE \# MSV000085030, ProteomeExchange \# = PXD017771 (https://massive.ucsd.edu/ ProteoSAFe/dataset.jsp?task $=4 a 43733 e a b 0 c 45 a 0 a 78 a 7 a f c 7 a d 4 f 685)$.

The mass spectrometry proteomics data from Cohorts 2 and 3 have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [9] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD016169.
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Table S1. Details of samples used in the study.

| Sample Name | Collection Year | Age of Individual | Age of Sample | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Sex } \\ (\mathbf{M} / \mathbf{F}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Cohort | Identified <br> Proteins | Unique Identified Peptides | Average Identified Protein $\pm$ SD | Average Unique Peptides $\pm$ SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2005 | 60 | 11 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 671 \\ & 651 \\ & 634 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3337 \\ & 3288 \\ & 2842 \end{aligned}$ | $652 \pm 18$ | $3156 \pm 273$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} 2 \\ & \text { A2 } \\ & \text { A2 } \end{aligned}$ | 2011 | 66 | 5 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 591 \\ & 573 \\ & 548 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2757 \\ 2919 \\ 2354 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $571 \pm 22$ | $2677 \pm 291$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3 } \\ & \text { A3 } \\ & \text { A3 } \end{aligned}$ | 2016 | 71 | 1 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 674 \\ & 603 \\ & 740 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3241 \\ 2697 \\ 3309 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $672 \pm 69$ | $3082 \pm 335$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 1 \\ & \mathrm{C} 1 \\ & \mathrm{C} 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2005 | 35 | 11 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 567 \\ & 324 \\ & 523 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2547 \\ 1221 \\ 2439 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $471 \pm 130$ | $2069 \pm 736$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2 \\ & \mathrm{C} 2 \\ & \mathrm{C} 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2011 | 41 | 5 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 296 \\ & 643 \\ & 756 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1223 \\ 3273 \\ 3760 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $565 \pm 240$ | $2752 \pm 1346$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 3 \\ & \mathrm{C} 3 \\ & \mathrm{C} 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2016 | 46 | 1 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 672 \\ & 644 \\ & 702 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3553 \\ 2911 \\ 3453 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $678 \pm 29$ | $3305 \pm 345$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1951 | $<1$ | 65 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 575 \\ & 575 \\ & 563 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2545 \\ 2216 \\ 2281 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $571 \pm 7$ | $2347 \pm 174$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2016 | 65 | 1 | M | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 687 \\ & 639 \\ & 693 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3038 \\ 3212 \\ 3352 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $673 \pm 30$ | $3200 \pm 157$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D1 } \\ & \text { D1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2011 | 65 | 5 | F | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 603 \\ & 641 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2662 \\ & 2714 \end{aligned}$ | $622 \pm 27$ | $2688 \pm 36$ |
| D2 | 2016 | 70 | 1 | F | 2 | 623 | 2585 | $609 \pm 42$ | $2651 \pm 128$ |


|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{寸}{\underset{~}{n}} \\ & H \\ & \underset{~}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{7} \\ & H \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{\rightharpoonup}{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & J \\ & H \\ & J \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{o} \\ & \hat{6} \\ & \hat{H} \\ & \hat{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ominus}{2} \\ & + \\ & \underset{\sim}{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \underset{\text { H}}{2} \end{aligned}$ | n |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{i}{n} \stackrel{0}{=}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \circ & \circ \\ \underset{y}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| ¢ | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ti }} \underset{\sim}{\text { or }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{n} \underset{m}{n}$ | 중둥 | ぶ춧ㅊํ |  | 令 | 정 ${ }_{6}^{\circ}$ ה | N |
|  | N | N | m | m | m | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ |
|  | $\Sigma$ | $\Sigma$ | $\Sigma$ | $\Sigma$ | 杫 | 山 | L | I |
|  | ＋ | － | － | － | － | n | n | － |
|  | m | $\mathfrak{*}$ | ช | \％ | 寸 | n | $\infty$ | \％ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{i}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{c}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{c}}$ |
| กิิ | 国国国 | N | Nิ ָ̛ ָ̛ | デびびひ | NTNNN | 回回回国回 | \＃沫 | N |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & n \\ & + \\ & n \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | d H N Ñ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ + + + |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 충 ${ }_{\text {N }}^{\sim}$ | $\mid \underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ 싯 |
|  | m | $m$ |
|  | $\Sigma$ | $\Sigma$ |
|  | - | J |
|  | 8 | N |
|  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\pi}{2}$ |
| 포 $\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}$ | $\mathfrak{\sim}$ ヘ | $=$ |

Table S2. GVPs identified at 127 loci.

| Gene N | rs\#_nuc SAP | peptide sequence | A1 | A2 | A3 |  | B1 B2 | 32 | C1 | C2 |  | C3 | D1 |  | E1 |  | E2 | F1 | F2 | G1 | G2 |  |  | H2 |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACAA1 | rs222952: V294A | QVItLlnelk |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| ACAA1 | rs222952:V294A | Qaltllnelk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALDH2 | rs671_G E504K/E4! | ¢ ELGEYGLQAYtevk |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ALDH2 | rs671_A E504k | ELGEYGLQAYTk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ANAX2 | rs178452:v98L | SALSGHLETIILGLLK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ATP5A1 | rs790112 A32S | VLSIGDGIAR | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ATP5A1 | rs790112'A32S | VLSIGDGIsR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CSRP1 | rs373828. K1081 | HEEAPGHRPTTNPNA: | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| CSRP1 | rs373828: K1081 | HEEAPGHRPTTNPNA: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSC3 | rs352969 K180Q | GVDKEPLNLFYIER | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSC3 | rs352969: K180Q | GVDqEPLNLFYIER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSP | rs287639 ${ }^{\text {N1526K }}$ | ANSSATETINK | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DSP | rs287639 ${ }^{\text {N1526K }}$ | ANSSATETIK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSP | rs287639 ${ }_{\text {R1537C }}$ | VqEQeltr | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| DSP | rs2876391R1537C | vqeqeltclr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSP | rs692906: R17380 | GqSEADSDKNA | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DSP | rs692906: R1738Q | GRSEADSDKNATILE |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EFHD1 | rs115506: K90R | LSEIDVALEGVK | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EFHD1 | rs115506' K90R / K1\& | ¢LSEIDVALEGVr | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EIF2S2 | rs178560: E177D | DYtyeellnr | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| EIF2S2 | rs178560:E177D | DYTYdELLNR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GPNMB | rs353632: P324L | AAAPGPCPPPPPPPR |  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GPNMB | rs353632: P324L | AAAPGPCIPPPPPPR | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GSDMA | rs389419.R18Q | QLNPqGDLTPLDSLI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GSDMA | rs389419.R18Q | QLNPR/GDLTPLDSL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| GSDMA | rs721293iV128L | ALETVQER |  | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GSDMA | rs721293:V128L | ALETIQER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GSTP1 | rs113827: A114V | YISLIYTNYEAGKDDYV | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| GSTP1 | rs113827: A114V | YISLIYTNYEvGKDDYVI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GSTP1 | rs1695_Al105V | YISLIYTNYEAGKDD |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| GSTP1 | rs1695_G1105V | YvSLIYTNYEAGKDD | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HEXB | rs108058: 1207 V | GILIDTSR |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hexb | rs108058:1207V | GILvDTSR | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| HEXB | rs774999.1420V | K.LAPGTIVEVWKD |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Hexb | rs774999. 1420 V | K.LAPGTVVEVWKD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IL1F10 | rs6761271T441 | ICTLPNR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| IL1F10 | rs6761271 T441 | ICiLPNR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JUP | rs412834: R142H | SAIVHLINYQDDAEL | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| JUP | rs412834: R142 H | SAIVHLINYQDDAEL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JUP | rs143043 V648ı | NEGTATYAAAVLFR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| JUP | rs143043 'V648ı | NEGTATYAAAILFR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT32 | rs207156 S222Y | ADLEAQVEyLK | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| KRT32 | rs728300. R280H | CQYEAMVEANRR | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| KRT32 | rs728300. R280H | CQYEAMVEANhR | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| KRT32 | rs260495. P427T | SLLENEDCKLPCNPC |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT32 | rs260495: P427T | SLLENEDCKLPCNPC |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| KRT32 | rs3744781 Q72R | TYLSSSCQAASGISGSn |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT32 | rs3744781 Q72R | TYLSSSCr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT32 | rs207156\|1171T | MVVNIDNAK | 1 | $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT32 | rs207156\|1171T | MVVNtDNAK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT32 | rs2604955 N402S | LEGEINTYRSLLEsED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT32 | rs146792: A255T | LNIEVDAAPPVDLTR | 1 | $1 \quad 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT32 | rs146792: A255T | LNIEVDtAPPVDLTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT34 | rs223971\|1238T/ 128 | SQYEALVEINR / SQ |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT34 | rs207159: H 348 R | DSLENTLTESEAHYS |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT35 | rs743686. S36P | VSAMYSSSSCKLPSL |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT35 | rs743686. S36P | VSAMYSSSpCKLPSL |  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT35 | rs138303: R163W | YETEVSLwQLVESDI |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT35 | rs138303: R163W | YETEVSLRQLVESDII |  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| KRT35 | rs124516. C441Y | TNCSPRPICVPCPGG |  | 0 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT35 | rs124516. C441Y | TNySPRPICVPCPGG |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KRT35 | rs207160 P413A | TNCSaRPICVPCPGG |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| KRT35 | rs207160 P413A | TNCSPRPICVPCPG |  | 0 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
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KRTAP1-1 rs138200: C14F
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KRTAP3-i rs382959: R27C
KRTAP3-¿ rs382959: R27C

| rs757906: A202G / | CQLGDRLNVEVDAA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs757906: A202G | CQLGDRLNVEVDgA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 116573: N357T | YSSQLAQMQCLISN | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs116573: N357T | YSSQLAQMQCLIStV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 990410: R277C | CQYEALVENNR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs990410: R277C | cQYealvenncr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs991672. N39S | NVFVSPIDVGCQPV، | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs991672. N39S | NVFVSPIDVGCQPV, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs991648. 772 A | PSLCLPPTSHTACPLPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs991648.772 | PSLCLPPaSHTACPLPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs991647. S73C | PSLCLPPTSHTACPLPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs991647: S73C | PSLCLPPTcHTACPLPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs169668 A217V | LLDDvTLAK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs897416. S423P | LPCNPCSTSPSCVTA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs897416. S423P | LPCNPCSTpPSCVTA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs178430 T341M | DSQECILTETEAR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| rs178430: T341M | DSQECILIETEAR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 42154 S86N | FSLDDCSWYGEGIN: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| rs142154 S86N | FSLDDCnWYGEGIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs721325i R4560 | SGAIESTAPACTSSSI | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs721325i R456Q | SGAIESTAPACTSSSI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| rs178430: L383M | QNQEYEILLDVK | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| rs178430: L383M | QNQEYEILTDVVK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| rs150812 C349R | TASALEIELQAQQSL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs150812 C349R | TASALEIELQAQQSL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| rs201002 R235H | NHEEE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs201002 R235H | GI | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| rs140634.R108H | R.SLEETNAELESR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| s140 | VhSLEETNAELESR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs721957. C265Y | CQCETVLANN RR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs721957. C265Y | CQyETVLANN RR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs223239: E242G | yedeinkrtaienefv, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| rs223239: E242G | Yedgink | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs658087: L248R | LYEEEILILQS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| rs265865: 7458 M | GAFLYEPCGVSm | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs265865: 7458 M | GAFLYEPCGV | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| rs1732263E452D | GAFLYEPCGVSTPVL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs1732263E452D | GAFLYdPCGVSTPVL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs179163، E219Q | kyeeelslrpcVenefv | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs179163. E219Q | kyeeelslrpcVqNefv | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs285246.1279M | DLNMDCmVAEIK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs285246.1279M | dLnmdCivaeik | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| rs285767 H493Y | GGVVCGDLCVSGSR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs285767 H493Y | GGVVCG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs285766: R149C | LQFYQNR.ECCOSNI | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| rs285766. R149C | LQFYQNCECCQSNL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| RS95177ミ C446R | CEYQELMNAKLGLD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| RS95177ミ C446R | QLrEYQELMNAKLG | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| rs6163001 R78 | IAVGGFRAGSCGR / | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs616300 R 78 H | IAVGGFRAGSCGhSF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs587172 Q139P | LpFYQNR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs150218. P12R | ACCQTSFCGFPSCSTS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs150218. P12R | ACCO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs138200: C14F | ACCQTSFCGFPSCST | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| - rs148449. T32S | TCCQTSFCGYPSFS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs148449 T32S | TCCQTSFCGYPSFSIS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs626233 C35Y | MTCCQTSFCG YPSF | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| -! rs626233 C35Y | MTCCQTSFCG YPSF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs138758 T52A | SCQTSFCGFPSFSTS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| rs138758 T52A | SCQaSFCGFPSFSTS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| rs989704 S8G $^{\text {d }}$ | MDCCASRSCSVPTG | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 381305146T | CGVCLPSTCPHTVWLI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 382959: R27C | SCSVPTGPATTICSSI | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| 382959: R27C | K.SCCCGVCLPSTCP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

KRTAP4-ï rs620672: T59S KRTAP4-ï rs620672: T59S KRTAP4-2 rs389784. Y95C KRTAP4-i rs389784 Y95C KRTAP4-: rs428371. P152S KRTAP4-: rs428371. P152S KRTAP4-4 rs366700. R154S KRTAP4-4 rs366700. R154S KRTAP4-< rs385055. Y25C KRTAP4-< rs444509. C35S KRTAP4-- rs444509. C35S KRTAP4-/ rs 750304 Q109R KRTAP4-4 rs750304I Q109R KRTAP4-- rs149738: R22C KRTAP4- rs 739831 P63S KRTAP4-\& rs201814-T183S KRTAP4-\{ rs201814-T183S KRTAP4-\& rs138296 G7S KRTAP4-\{ rs 138296 G7S KRTAP4-؟ rs113059: D18V KRTAP4-¢ rs113059: D18V KRTAP4-1 rs989703 R17Q KRTAP4-1 rs989703 R17Q KRTAP4-1 rs113376 R26H KRTAP9-i rs990223: 556 C KRTAP9-i rs990223. 556C KRTAP9-< rs219137: S146Y KRTAP9-< rs219137: S146Y KRTAP9-¢ rs129386: Y86C KRTAP9-¢ rs129386: Y86C KRTAP9-f rs576405 Y Y 145 C KRTAP9-f rs576405 Y 145 C KRTAP9-t rs5373011 C146R KRTAP9-f rs5373011 C146R KRTAP9-6 rs129383 C51Y KRTAP9-¢ rs129383 C51Y
KRTAP10 rs233252. C170Y KRTAP10 rs233252. C170Y KRTAP10 rs464391. R268P KRTAP10 rs464391. R268P KRTAP10 rs 465279 . s300p KRTAP10 rs111668 V24M KRTAP10 rs111668 V24M KRTAP10 rs411254. H26R KRTAP10. rs411254. H26R KRTAP10 rs998012: C257R KRTAP10 rs998012: C257R KRTAP10 rs 481895 I V158M KRTAP10 rs 481895 I V158M KRTAP10 rs617459 C236Y KRTAP10 rs617459 C236Y KRTAP10 rs343029: G226S KRTAP10 rs343029. G226S KRTAP11 rs713213: R72Q KRTAP11 rs713213: R72Q
KRTAP11 rs963684. C111s KRTAP11 rs963684. C111S KRTAP13 rs3804011 S74R KRTAP13 rs380401IS74R KRTAP16 rs207428. P340R KRTAP16 rs207428. P340R LAMP1 rs957723।1309т
LAMP1 rs957723।1309T LRRC15 rs130606. V270L

| TTCCRPSCCVSSCCR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TTCCRPSCCVSSCCR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCCRPSCCVSSCFR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCCRPSCCVSSCFR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PACCISSCCHPSCCVSS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| sACCISSCCHPSCCVSS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TTCCRPSCCVSRCYR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCCRPSCCVSSCYR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VNSCCGSVCSDQGC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| R.TTCCRPSCCVSSC( | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R.TTsCRPSCCVSSCC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCCRPSCCRPQCC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCCRPSCCRPr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| VSSCCGSVSSEQSCG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| R.TTCCRPSCCVSSC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| VSCHTTCYRPACVISTC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PACV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| VNSCCGSVCSDQGC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| VNSCCsSVCSDQGCI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| VSSCCGSVCSDQGC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| VSSCCGSVCSDQGC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VNSCCGSVCSHQGC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| VNSCCGSVCSHQGC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LCQETCCRPSCCETT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CRPTSCQNTCCR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| CR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| R.TCYYPTTVCLPGCI | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CL | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCCQPTCVTSCCQI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TTCCQPTCVTSCCQI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| R.RTCYHPTTVCLPE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| LPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| R.RTCYHPTTVCLPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| R.RTCYHPTTVCLPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TTCWQPTIVTTCSSTPI | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STCCVPIPS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| STyCVPIPSCCAPAST | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PASCVSLLCRPACSRLA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| PASCVSLLCRPACSpLA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SSSSVSLLCHPVCK | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| MADACCTRTYVIAAST | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| MADACCTRTYVIAAST | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TYVIAASTMSVCSS[ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TYVIAASTMSVCSS[ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| PACCVPVSSCCAPTSS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| SV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SVCYmPVCSGASTSI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| LASCGSLLCR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| LASCGSLlyR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RVPVPSCCVPTSSCC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| RVPVPSCCVPTSSCG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CIVPVAQVTTTSTTE | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| CIVPVAQVTTTSTTE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| QTTCISNPCSTTYSR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| QTTCISNPCSTTYSR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| GCQEICWEPTSCI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| GCQEICWEPTSCI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| RCPSVCPEPVSCP | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| RCrSVCPEPVSCPSTSC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| FFLQGIQLNTILPDAR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| FFLQGIQLNTtLPDAR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| LYLSNNHISQLPPSV | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |


| LRRC15 | rs130606. V270L | LYLSNNHISQLPPSIF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LRRC15 | rs130705 P286L | ELSIGIFGPMPNLR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LRRC15 | rs130705 P286L | ELSPGIFGPMPNLR | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| LGALS3 | rs101483 R183K | LDNNWGR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| LGALS3 | rs101483 R183K | LDNNWGk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LGALS3 | rs11125_Q201H | IQVLVEPDHFK | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| LGALS3 | rs11125_Q201H | IhVLVEPDHFK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEU2 | rs223338. S11R | ESVFQSGAHAYR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| NEU2 | rs223338. S11R | ASLPVLQKEr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEU2 | rs223338. R41Q | IPALLYLPGQQSLLAFA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| NEU2 | rs223338. R41Q | IPALLYLPGQQSLLAFA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEU2 | rs223339 ${ }^{\text {A145T }}$ | DLTDAAIGPAYR | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| NEU2 | rs223339 ${ }^{\text {A145T }}$ | DLTDtAIGPAYR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEU2 | rs223339 H168N | EWSTFAVGPGHCLC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| NEU2 | rs223339 H168N | EWSTFAVGPGHCLC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCM1 | rs412750. S159N | DASTSPPNR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PCM1 | rs412750. S159N | DASTnPPNR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PKP1 | rs618182: R684W | AAEAARLLLSDMWS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| PKP1 | rs618182: R684W | AAEAAwLLLSDMW: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PKP1 | rs109201 A442V | NYSGLIDSLMAYVQN( | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PKP1 | rs109201 A442V | NYSGLIDSLMAYVQN( | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PLB1 | rs675392: V167L | AFVNLVDLSEVAEVSR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PLB1 | rs675392 V167L | AFINLVDLSEVAEVSR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PLCD1 | rs933135. R257H | EEAAGPALALSLIER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PLCD1 | rs933135. R257H | EEAAGPALALSLIEhYEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PPL | rs2037912 Q1573E | QNLQLETR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PPL | rs2037912 Q1573E | eNLQLETR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PPL | rs143676 R1457Q | VVLQQDPQQAREH. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PPL | rs143676 R1457Q | VVLQQDPQQAqEH. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S100A3 | rs116208. L62V | FMSVLDTNKDCEV[ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| S100A3 | rs360227،R3K | ARPLEQAVAAIVCTF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S100A3 | rs360227،R3K | AkPLEQAVAAIVCTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S100A3 | rs412651 H87Q | SLACLCLYCHEYFKD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| SERPINBE | rs145555: 1319 V | GVALSNVIHK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SERPINBE | rs145555. 1319 V | GVALSNVvHK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SYNGR2 | rs142608: A28S | FLTQPQVVAR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| SYNGR2 | rs142608: A28S | FLTQPQVVsR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCHH | rs251566. L63R | TVDLILELLDLDSNGF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TCHH | rs251566. L63R | TVDLILELLDr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TGM3 | rs214803. T13K | AALGVQSINWQkAF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TGM3 | rs214803. T13K | AALGVQSINWQTAF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TGM3 | rs214814. S249N | SWNGSVEILK | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TGM3 | rs214814.S249N | nWNGSVEILK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VSIG8 | rs626244 V47ı | R.LGCPYVLDPEDYC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| VSIG8 | rs626244 V47ı | R.LGCPYiLDPEDYG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




Figure S2. GVPs vs the number of replicates employed. The top panel presents the average number of GVPs identified vs the number of replicates used, while the bottom panel shows the percent GVPs unique to a replicate when $2,3,5$ and 6 replicates were used. The number on the top of each bar indicates the number of different sample files analyzed for each scenario.


Figure S3. Number of GVPs common to samples at different ages or unique to a sample. Venn diagrams for each of the individuals are labeled on top of each diagram. The ages written at the tops of the circles represent ages of the individuals at the time of collection of samples.


Figure S4. Deamidation of $Q$ and $N$ residues in proteins of hair samples stored for at least 10 years. Samples collected at different age points from individuals A, B, C and I were compared.

```
library(gdata)
library(edgeR)
library(dplyr)
library(RColorBrewer)
dat <- read.xls("WeightNotNorm-Ages.xlsx", stringsAsFactors = F, nrow = 261)
drop <- which(unlist(lapply(dat, function(x) all(is.na(x)))))
dat <- dat[,-drop]
anno <- dat[,1:4]
counts <- dat[,5:ncol(dat)]
rownames(counts) <- dat$Accession.Number
d <- DGEList(counts)
d <- calcNormFactors(d)
group <- unlist(lapply(strsplit(colnames(counts), split = ".", fixed = T),
    function(x)x[1]))
mm <- model.matrix(~0 + group)
y<-voom(d,mm, plot = T)
fit <- ImFit(y, mm)
# A1 vs A2
contr <- makeContrasts("groupA2 - groupA1", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
```

```
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "A2_v_A1.csv", row.names = F)
# B1 vs B2
contr <- makeContrasts("groupB2 - groupB1", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "B2_v_B1.csv", row.names = F)
# C1 vs C2
contr <- makeContrasts("groupC2 - groupC1", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C2_v_C1.csv", row.names = F)
```

```
# A1 vs B1
contr <- makeContrasts("groupB1 - groupA1", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "B1_v_A1.csv", row.names = F)
# B1 vs C1
contr <- makeContrasts("groupC1 - groupB1", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C1_v_B1.csv", row.names = F)
# A1 vs C1
contr <- makeContrasts("groupC1 - groupA1", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
```

```
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C1_v_A1.csv", row.names = F)
# A2 vs B2
contr <- makeContrasts("groupB2 - groupA2", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "B2_v_A2.csv", row.names = F)
# B2 vs C2
contr <- makeContrasts("groupC2 - groupB2", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n= Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C2_v_B2.csv", row.names = F)
# A2 vs C2
contr <- makeContrasts("groupC2 - groupA2", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
```

```
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C2_v_A2.csv", row.names = F)
######
age <- substr(group, 1, 1)
mm <- model.matrix(~0 + age)
y<- voom(d, mm, plot = T)
fit <- ImFit(y, mm)
# A vs all B
contr <- makeContrasts("ageB - ageA", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "B_v_A.csv", row.names = F)
# B vs all C
contr <- makeContrasts("ageC - ageB", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
```

```
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C_v_B.csv", row.names = F)
# A vs all C
contr <- makeContrasts("ageC - ageA", levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "C_v_A.csv", row.names = F)
# MDS plot
cols <- brewer.pal(6, "Dark2")
tiff("MDS_age_race.tiff")
plotMDS(d, labels = group, col = cols[as.numeric(factor(group))])
dev.off()
```

\# all 1 vs. all 2
race <- substr(group, 2, 2)

```
mm <- model.matrix(~race)
y<- voom(d, mm, plot = T)
fit <- ImFit(y, mm)
# A vs all B
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, coef = 2)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, n = Inf, sort.by = "P")
tmp2$Accession.Number <- rownames(tmp2)
tmp2 <- left_join(tmp2, anno)
tmp2 <- select(tmp2, Accession.Number, logFC, P.Value, adj.P.Val,
    Identified.Proteins)
write.csv(tmp2,file = "2_v_1.csv", row.names = F)
```


## R code - Statistical Analysis - Individuals

```
library(gdata)
dat <- read.xls("ProfilesVsAge.xlsx", stringsAsFactors = F, skip = 1, nrow = 242, check.names = F)
dat2 <- dat
drop <- which(names(dat2) == "")
dat2 <- dat2[,-drop]
dat2[,5:73] <- lapply(dat2[,5:73], function(x)gsub(",", "", x, fixed = T))
counts <- data.matrix(dat2[,5:73])
library(edgeR)
d <- DGEList(counts)
d <- calcNormFactors(d)
rownames(d) <- dat$"#
pdata <- read.xls("hair_aging_sample_info.xlsx", stringsAsFactors = F)
identical(pdata$sample, colnames(d))
# boxplot(d$sample$norm.factors ~ pdata$processed_by)
# Calculate batch-adjusted MDS plot
library(RColorBrewer)
cpms <- cpm(d, log = T)
resids <- t(apply(cpms, 1, function(x)resid(Im(x ~ processing_batch, data = pdata))))
cols <- c("black", brewer.pal(8, "Set2"))
tiff("./figures/MDS_batch_adjusted_by_subject_and_year.tiff", width = 8, height = 8, res = 400, units =
"in")
plotMDS(resids, col = cols[as.numeric(factor(pdata$subject))], labels = pdata$collection_year)
legend("right", text.col = cols, legend = levels(factor(pdata$subject)), title = "Subject")
```

dev.off()
tiff("./figures/MDS_batch_adjusted_by_subject_and_sample.tiff", width $=8$, height $=8$, res $=400$, units = "in")
plotMDS(resids, col = cols[as.numeric(factor(pdata\$subject))], labels = colnames(cpms))
legend("right", text.col = cols, legend = levels(factor(pdata\$subject)), title = "Subject")
dev.off()
\# derive time since sample collection as 2017 - year, or 2018 - year if second batch pdata\$sampage <- ifelse(pdata\$processed_by == "TJP", 2017 - pdata\$collection_year, 2018 - pdata\$collection_year)
\# Derive hair sample
pdata\$hair <- substr(pdata\$sample, 1, nchar(pdata\$sample) - 1)

```
# Set age to 1 if It 1
pdata$collection_age <- ifelse(pdata$collection_age == "< 1", 1, as.numeric(pdata$collection_age))
#############################################################################
######### Analysis by time since sample was collected
```

mm <- model.matrix(~sampage + subject, data = pdata)
$\mathrm{y}<-\operatorname{voom}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{mm}$, plot $=\mathrm{T})$
\#\#\#\#\#
write.csv(cbind(rownames(y), dat\$Accession.Number, y\$E), file = "normalized_counts.csv", row.names =
F)
\#\#\#\#\#

```
# Calculate within-hair correlations
cor <- duplicateCorrelation(y, mm, block = pdata$hair)$consensus
fit <- ImFit(y, mm, block = pdata$hair, correlation = cor)
# Estimate contrasts
#year
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, coef = 2)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "P", n = Inf)
length(which(tmp2$adj.P.Val < 0.05))
anno <- dat[,1:4]
names(anno)[2] <- "Identified Proteins"
out <- merge(anno, tmp2, by.y = "row.names", by.x = "#")
out <- out[order(out$P.Value),c("Accession Number", "Identified Proteins", "MW", "logFC", "P.Value",
"adj.P.Val")]
write.csv(out, "Protein_Expression_by_Years_Since_Collection_Results_ALL_SAMPLES.csv",row.names
= F)
# Plot significant proteins by year
sigs <- rownames(tmp2)[which(tmp2$adj.P.Val < 0.05)]
f<- function(X){
    protein <- unlist(strsplit(dat$`Accession Number`[which(dat$`#`== X)], split = "|", fixed =
T)[[1]])[3]
    x <- as.numeric(y$E[X,])
    plotname <- paste0("./figures/", protein, "_ALL_SAMPLES.tiff")
    tiff(plotname, width = 8, height = 8, res = 400, units = "in" )
    plot(x ~ collection_year, main = protein, xlab = "Year", ylab = "Normalized Expression", data =
pdata)
```

```
    abline(Isfit(pdata$collection_year, x), col = 2)
    dev.off()
drop <- which(pdata$hair == "R")
    plotname <- gsub("_ALL_SAMPLES", "_NO_SAMPLE_R", plotname)
    tiff(plotname, width = 8, height = 8, res = 400, units = "in" )
    plot(x[-drop] ~ pdata$collection_year[-drop],
        xlab = "Year", ylab = "Normalized Expression", main = protein)
    abline(Isfit(pdata$collection_year[-drop], x[-drop]), col = 2)
    dev.off()
}
sapply(sigs, f)
```

\# Refit model without hair R
drop <- which(pdata\$hair == "R")
$\mathrm{mm}<-$ model.matrix(~sampage + subject, data $=$ pdata[-drop,])
y.no1951 <- voom(d[,-drop], mm, plot = T)
cor <- duplicateCorrelation(y.no1951, mm, block = pdata\$hair[-drop])\$consensus
fit <- ImFit(y.no1951, mm, block = pdata\$hair[-drop], correlation = cor)
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, coef = 2)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "P", n = Inf)
length(which(tmp2\$adj.P.Val < 0.05))
anno <- dat[,1:4]
names(anno)[2] <- "Identified Proteins"
out <- merge(anno, tmp2, by.y = "row.names", by.x = "\#")
out <- out[order(out\$P.Value),c("Accession Number", "Identified Proteins", "MW", "logFC", "P.Value",
"adj.P.Val")]
write.csv(out, "Protein_Expression_by_Years_Since_Collection_Results_NO_SAMPLE_R.csv", row.names
= F)

```
########################################################################
##########################################################################
# Analysis by subject age at collection
mm <- model.matrix(~ collection_age + subject, data = pdata)
y<- voom(d, mm, plot = T)
# Calculate within-hair correlations
cor <- duplicateCorrelation(y, mm, block = pdata$hair)$consensus
fit <- ImFit(y, mm, block = pdata$hair, correlation = cor)
# Estimate contrasts
#year
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, coef = 2)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "P", n = Inf)
length(which(tmp2$adj.P.Val < 0.05))
anno <- dat[,1:4]
names(anno)[2] <- "Identified Proteins"
out <- merge(anno, tmp2, by.y = "row.names", by.x = "#")
out <- out[order(out$P.Value),c("Accession Number", "Identified Proteins", "MW", "logFC", "P.Value",
"adj.P.Val")]
write.csv(out, "Protein_Expression_by_Subject_Age_at_Collection_Results_ALL_SAMPLES.csv",
row.names = F)
# Refit model without hair R
drop <- which(pdata$hair == "R")
mm <- model.matrix(~ collection_age + subject, data = pdata[-drop,])
```

```
y.no1951 <- voom(d[,-drop], mm, plot = T)
cor <- duplicateCorrelation(y.no1951, mm, block = pdata$hair[-drop])$consensus
fit <- ImFit(y.no1951, mm, block = pdata$hair[-drop], correlation = cor)
tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, coef = 2)
tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "P", n = Inf)
length(which(tmp2$adj.P.Val < 0.05))
anno <- dat[,1:4]
names(anno)[2] <- "Identified Proteins"
out <- merge(anno, tmp2, by.y = "row.names", by.x = "#")
out <- out[order(out$P.Value),c("Accession Number", "Identified Proteins", "MW", "logFC", "P.Value",
"adj.P.Val")]
write.csv(out, "Protein_Expression_by_Subject_Age_at_Collection_Results_NO_SAMPLE_R.csv",
row.names = F)
cor(pdata$collection_age, pdata$collection_year)
################### Pairwise contrasts between hairs, within each batch
mm <- model.matrix(~0 + hair, data = pdata)
y<-voom(d, mm, plot = T)
fit <- ImFit(y, mm)
# Estimate contrasts--pairwise comparisons of all hairs
samps <- unique(pdata$hair[pdata$processed_by == "TJP"])
nsamp <- length(samps)
out <- dat[,c("Accession Number", "Identified Proteins (467)", "MW")]
```

```
names(out)[2] <- "Identified Proteins"
nsig <- matrix(nrow = nsamp, ncol = nsamp)
for (i in 1:(nsamp - 1)){
    for (j in (i + 1):nsamp){
    cont <- paste("hair", samps[i], " - hair", samps[j], sep = "")
    contr <- makeContrasts(cont, levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
    tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
    tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
    tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "none", n = Inf)
    nsig[i, j] <- nsig[j, i] <- length(which(tmp2$adj.P.Val < 0.05))
    names(tmp2) <- paste(names(tmp2), samps[i], "v", samps[j], sep = ".")
    out <- cbind(out, tmp2[,c(1,4,5)])
}
}
samps <- unique(pdata$$hair[pdata$processed_by == "RHR"])
nsamp <- length(samps)
out <- dat[,c("Accession Number", "Identified Proteins (467)", "MW")]
names(out)[2] <- "Identified Proteins"
nsig <- matrix(nrow = nsamp, ncol = nsamp)
for (i in 1:(nsamp - 1)){
    for (j in (i + 1):nsamp){
    cont <- paste("hair", samps[i], " - hair", samps[j], sep = "")
    contr <- makeContrasts(cont, levels = colnames(coef(fit)))
    tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
    tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
    tmp2 <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "none", n = Inf)
    nsig[i, j] <- nsig[j, i] <- length(which(tmp2$adj.P.Val < 0.05))
    names(tmp2) <- paste(names(tmp2), samps[i], "v", samps[j], sep = ".")
    out <- cbind(out, tmp2[,c(1,4,5)])
```

```
}
}
rownames(nsig) <- colnames(nsig) <- samps
```

library(openxlsx)
wb <- createWorkbook()
addWorksheet(wb, "Results of Pairwise Comparisons")
writeData(wb, "Results of Pairwise Comparisons", out)
posStyle <- createStyle(fontColour = "\#006100", bgFill = "\#C6EFCE")
pvalcols <- grep("adj", names(out))
sapply(pvalcols,function(x) conditionalFormatting(wb, "Results of Pairwise Comparisons", cols = x, rows
= 1:nrow(out),
rule = "<0.05", style = posStyle))
addWorksheet(wb, "Num Sig Comparisons")
writeData(wb, "Num Sig Comparisons", nsig, rowNames = T)
Sys.setenv(R_ZIPCMD= "C:/Rtools/bin/zip")
saveWorkbook(wb, "Pairwise Comparisons Between Samples.xlsx", overwrite = TRUE)
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#\#\#
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
\#\#\#
\# subject-time interaction
mm <- model.matrix(~sampage*subject, data = pdata)
$\mathrm{y}<-\mathrm{voom}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{mm}$, plot $=\mathrm{T}$ )
\# Calculate within-sample correlations
cor <- duplicateCorrelation(y, mm, block = pdata\$hair)\$consensus
fit <- ImFit(y, mm, block = pdata\$hair, correlation = cor)

```
# Estimate contrasts
f<- function(subject){
    if (subject == "A"){
    con <- "sampage"
    }else{
    con <- pasteO("sampage + sampage.subject", subject)
}
    contr <- do.call(makeContrasts, list(contrasts = con, levels = make.names(colnames(coef(fit)))))
    rownames(contr) <- colnames(coef(fit))
    tmp <- contrasts.fit(fit, contr)
    tmp <- eBayes(tmp)
    results <- topTable(tmp, sort.by = "none", n = Inf)[,c("logFC","P.Value","adj.P.Val")]
    names(results) <- paste(names(results), subject, sep = ".")
    return(results)
}
subs <- unique(pdata$subject)
out <- lapply(subs, f)
# Merge files
results <- do.call(cbind, out)
anno <- dat[,1:4]
out <- merge(anno, results, by.y = "row.names", by.x = "#")
library(openxlsx)
wb <- createWorkbook()
addWorksheet(wb, "Results")
writeData(wb, "Results", out)
posStyle <- createStyle(fontColour = "#006100", bgFill = "#C6EFCE")
pvalcols <- grep("adj", names(out))
```

```
sapply(pvalcols,function(x) conditionalFormatting(wb, "Results", cols = x, rows = 1:nrow(out),
    rule = "<0.05", style = posStyle))
```

Sys.setenv(R_ZIPCMD= "C:/Rtools/bin/zip")
saveWorkbook(wb, "Subject by Time Since Sample Collection Interaction Model.xlsx", overwrite = TRUE)
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Plots of distances
cpms <- cpm(d, log = T)
resids <-t(apply(cpms, 1, function(x)resid(Im( $\mathrm{x} \sim$ processing_batch, data = pdata) )))
$\mathrm{d}<-\operatorname{dist}(\mathrm{t}($ resids $)$, diag $=\mathrm{T}$ )
d2 <- as.matrix(d)
subs <- unique(pdata\$subject)
nsub <- length(subs)
between.subject.dists <- NULL
between.subject.names <- NULL
within.subject.dists <- NULL
within.subject.names <- NULL
for (i in 1:nsub) \{
for ( j in 1:i) $\{$
subject1 <- subs[i]
subject2 <- subs[j]
if $(i==j)\{$
t1 <- which(pdata\$subject == subject1)
\#
tmp <- d2[t1, t1]
tmp0 <- as.numeric(tmp[lower.tri(tmp)])

```
    within.subject.dists <- c(within.subject.dists, tmp0)
    pairname <- paste(subject1, subject1, sep = ".")
    within.subject.names <- c(within.subject.names, rep(pairname, length(tmp0)))
    } else{
    t1<- which(pdata$subject == subject1)
    t2 <- which(pdata$subject == subject2)
    tmp <- d2[t1, t2]
    tmp0 <- as.numeric(tmp)
    between.subject.dists <- c(between.subject.dists, tmp0)
    pairname <- paste(subject1, subject1, sep = ".")
    between.subject.names <- c(between.subject.names, rep(pairname, length(tmp0)))
    }
}
}
names(within.subject.dists) <- within.subject.names
names(between.subject.dists) <- between.subject.names
```

avg.within.subject <- tapply(within.subject.dists, names(within.subject.dists), function(x)sqrt(mean( $\left.\left.x^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)$ )
avg.between.subject <- tapply(between.subject.dists, names(between.subject.dists),
function(x)sqrt(mean( $\left.\left.x^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)$ )
tiff("./figures/Distance Boxplots.tiff", width $=8$, height $=8$, res $=400$, units $=$ "in")
boxplot(list(avg.within.subject, avg.between.subject), beside = T,
ylab = "Average Distance", xaxt = "n")
axis(1, at =1:2, labels = c("Within Subjects", "Between Subjects"), line = 1, tick = F)
dev.off()
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